

# **MODULE SPECIFICATION**

A and a what was the standard				
Academic Year (student	2024 22			
cohort covered by	2021-22			
specification)				
Module Code	1400			
Module Title	Health Care Evaluation			
Module Organiser(s)	Professor David Cromwell			
Faculty	Public Health & Policy			
FHEQ Level	Level 7			
Credit Value	<b>CATS:</b> 15			
	<b>ECTS:</b> 7.5			
HECoS Code	101317 : 100476 : 100473			
Term of Delivery	Term 2			
Mode of Delivery				
_	It is currently intended that teaching will comprise a combination			
	of online and face-to-face teaching comprising live and			
	interactive activities (synchronous learning) as well as recorded			
	or self-directed study (asynchronous learning).			
Mode of Study	Full-time			
Language of Study	English			
Pre-Requisites	Students attending this module will be expected either to have			
-	taken the following Term 1 modules, or to have an			
	understanding of the material covered in those modules:			
	Basic Statistics for Public Health & Policy (1121)			
	Basic Epidemiology (2001)			
	Introduction to Health Economics (1103)			
Accreditation by	None			
Professional Statutory and				
Regulatory Body				
Module Cap (Indicative	60			
number of students)				
Target Audience	This module is intended for students interested in the evaluation			
	of health care services in high-income and middle-/low-income			
	countries.			
Module Description	Throughout history, people have been given health care			
	interventions that we now know to be ineffective or even			
	harmful. It is therefore important to evaluate health care			
	interventions so that health services deliver care that is beneficial			
	to patients. The objective of this module is to give students an			
	to patients. The objective of this module is to give students an			



	understanding of how to evaluate health care interventions in low-, middle- and high-income countries. It focuses on the scientific methods used to evaluate different aspects of a health care intervention:  (a) its effectiveness  (b) its efficiency (or cost-effectiveness)  (c) whether it is being delivered humanely to patients, as reflected in their experience of care, and  (d) whether it is delivered equitably	
Duration	5 weeks at 2.5 days per week	
Timetabling slot	Term 2 - slot C1	
Last Revised (e.g. year	October/2021	
changes approved)		

Programme(s)	Status	
This module is linked to the following programme(s)		
MSc Public Health (Health Services Research)	Recommended	
MSc Control of Infectious Diseases	Recommended	
MSc Health Policy, Planning & Finance	Recommended	
MSc Public Health	Recommended	
MSc Public Health (Environment & Health)	Recommended	
MSc Public Health (Health Services and Management)	Recommended	
MSc Public Health for Development	Recommended	

# **Module Aim and Intended Learning Outcomes**

## Overall aim of the module

The overall module aim is to:

 describe and illustrate a range of methods which can be used to evaluate health services in high, middle and low-income countries. The module is aimed at enabling students to develop an understanding of the principles involved in designing studies to evaluate whether health care interventions are effective, efficient, and are delivered humanely and equitably.

The module considers relatively simple interventions, such as a new drug or surgical technique. It does not cover evaluations of large-scale public health interventions.



## **Module Intended Learning Outcomes**

Upon successful completion of the module, a student will be able to:

- 1. Describe the main methods used for evaluating the effectiveness, efficiency, equity and humanity of health care interventions
- 2. Assess the main advantages and limitations of each method
- 3. Explain the key steps involved in evaluating specific health care interventions

# **Indicative Syllabus**

#### **Session Content**

The module is expected to cover the following topics:

- Definition of scientific health care evaluation
- Description of the different aspects of health care that an evaluation can examine (e.g. effectiveness, efficiency, equity, patient experience)
- The steps involved in designing an evaluative study
- How key aspects (such as the impact of health care on patient health status and quality of life, and costs) can be measured
- The principal study designs (randomized, observational and ecological) used in health care evaluation.

# **Teaching and Learning**

**Notional Learning Hours** 

Type of Learning Time	Number of Hours	Expressed as Percentage	
3.		(%)	
Contact time	22	15%	
Directed self-study	34	23%	
Self-directed learning	59	39%	
Assessment, review and revision	35	23%	
Total	150	100%	

Student contact time refers to the tutor-mediated time allocated to teaching, provision of guidance and feedback to students. This time includes activities that take place in face-to-face contexts such as lectures, seminars, demonstrations, tutorials, supervised laboratory workshops, practical classes, project supervision as well as where tutors are available for one-to-one discussions and interaction by email. Student contact time also includes tutor-mediated activities that take place in online environments, which may be synchronous (using real-time digital tools such as Zoom or Blackboard Collaborate Ultra) or asynchronous (using digital tools such as tutor-moderated discussion forums or blogs often delivered through the School's virtual learning environment, Moodle).



The division of notional learning hours listed above is indicative and is designed to inform students as to the relative split between interactive (online or on-campus) and self-directed study.

### **Teaching and Learning Strategy**

The technical / methodological aspects of evaluation are presented in ten lectures. The format of seminars will encourage both a practical application and critical appraisal of methods. Students will be required to prepare for seminars in advance, work in groups during the seminars, and will present the results of group work for discussion. To facilitate appropriate discussions, seminars will be divided into high-income and middle-/low-income country groups with approximately fifteen students in each group.

#### **Assessment**

### **Assessment Strategy**

The assessment for this module has been designed to measure student learning against the module intended learning outcomes (ILOs) as listed above. Formative assessment methods may be used to measure students' progress. The grade for summative assessment(s) only will go towards the overall award GPA.

The assessment for this module will be online.

The module is assessed using written examination. Students are required to answer any four of five short-answer questions. Each question is typically broken down into sections that require short answers (rather than an essay style response). Students will receive the test via email and be required to return their answer script within a specified time.

#### **Summative Assessment**

Assessment Type	Assessment Length (i.e.	Weighting	Intended Module
	Word Count, Length of	(%)	<b>Learning Outcomes</b>
	presentation in minutes)		Tested
Timed Test (in-module	Timed in-module online	100%	All three learning
test)			outcomes tested

#### **Resitting assessment**

Resits will accord with the LSHTM's Resits Policy

The task will be a written exam (in the same format as the original assessment) consisting of short answer questions.



#### Resources

### **Indicative reading list**

- 1. Ergina PL, Cook JA, Blazeby JM, Boutron I, et al. Challenges in evaluating surgical innovation. Lancet. 2009; 374: 1097-104.
- 2. Molyneux E, Mathanga D, Witte D, Molyneux M. Practical issues in relation to clinical trials in children in low-income countries: experience from the front line. Arch Dis Child. 2012; 97(9): 848-51.
- 3. Savović J, Jones HE, Altman DG, et al. Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomized, controlled trials. Ann Intern Med. 2012; 157(6): 429-38.
- 4. Dreyer NA, Tunis SR, Berger M, Ollendorf D, Mattox P, Gliklich R. Why observational studies should be among the tools used in comparative effectiveness research. Health Aff (Millwood). 2010; 29(10): 1818-25.
- 5. Petrou S, Gray A. Economic evaluation alongside randomised controlled trials: design, conduct, analysis, and reporting. BMJ 2011; 342: d1548
- 6. Griffiths UK, Legood R, Pitt C. Comparison of Economic Evaluation Methods across Low-income, Middle-income and High-income Countries: What are the Differences and Why? Health Econ. 2016; 25 (Suppl 1): 29-41.
- 7. Valentine N, Darby C, Bonsel GJ. Which aspects of non-clinical quality of care are most important? Results from WHO's general population surveys of "health systems responsiveness" in 41 countries. Soc Sci Med 2008; 66: 1939-1950.
- 8. Allin S, Herandez-Queveo, Masseria C. Measuring equity of access to health care. In: Smith P, Mossialos E, Papanicolas I, Leatherman S. (eds). *Performance measurement for health systems improvement: experiences, challenges, and prospects*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

#### Other resources

Tsang C, Cromwell D. (eds) Health Care Evaluation. Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2018



# **Teaching for Disabilities and Learning Differences**

The module-specific site on Moodle gives students access to lecture notes and copies of the slides used during the lecture. Where appropriate, lectures are recorded and made available on Moodle. All materials posted on Moodle, including computer-based sessions, have been made accessible where possible.

LSHTM Moodle is accessible to the widest possible audience, regardless of specific needs or disabilities. More detail can be found in the <u>Moodle Accessibility Statement</u> which can also be found within the footer of the Moodle pages. All students have access to "SensusAccess" software which allows conversion of files into alternative formats.

Student Support Services can arrange learning or assessment adjustments for students where needed. Details and how to request support can be found on the <u>LSHTM Disability Support</u> <u>pages</u>.